

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,725	02/27/2004	Hea Young Park Choo	DE-1557	9620
1109 75	590 03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
,	, KILL & OLICK, P.C.	SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G		
	OF THE AMERICAS NY 10020-1182		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1614	<del> </del>

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		1	0/789,725	PARK CHOO ET	PARK CHOO ET AL.			
		E	xaminer	Art Unit				
		<b>I</b>	hyllis G. Spivack	1614				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appea	rs on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exten after 3 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN sions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum is e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a v will. by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUN ). In no event, however, may pply and will expire SIX (6) M use the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
·	•		tion is non-final.					
′—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Application	on Papers							
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) 🔲 🗀	Γhe oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exam	iner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
	·			en received in this Mationa	i Stage			
* 9	application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nt received				
J	ee the attached detailed Office actic		ne cermed copies in	or received.				
Attachment	(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I			o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	·O_152\			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	r P1O/SB/08)	6)  Other: _		O-132)			

## Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to methods for inhibiting 5-lipoxygenase and methods of treating a leukotriene-related disease, classified in various subclasses of class 514, depending on the compound of instant formula I contemplated.
- II. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to methods for preparing a compound of formula I, classified in various subclasses of classes 546 and 548, depending on the compound of instant formula I contemplated.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other, for the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case 5-lipoxygenase may be inhibited by non-benzoxazole derivatives. Alternatively, the compounds that are prepared by the methods disclosed in instant claims 8 and 9 have uses unrelated to 5-lipoxygenase inhibition.

The Groups have acquired a separate status in the art by their recognized, divergent subject matter. The searches required for each Group are not co-extensive resulting in an undue burden to the Examiner. Each Group is capable of supporting a separate patent.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1614

Further, claims 1-7 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a compound of instant formula I, as disclosed in the present specification. Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species if Group I is elected, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, Applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants are advised that to be complete, the reply to this requirement must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call to the attorney is not required where: 1) the restriction requirement is complex; 2) the application is being prosecuted *pro se*; or, 3) the Examiner knows from past experience that a telephone election will not be made. See MPEP 812.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Phyllis G. Spivack whose telephone number is 571-272-0585. The Examiner can normally be reached on 10:30 AM-7 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,725 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on 591-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 17, 2006

Phyllis G. Spivack

Phyllis G. Spivack

Phyllis G. Spivack

PHYLLIS SPIVACK
PRIMARY EXAMINER